

Legislation Text

## File #: 15-00338, Version: 2

HEARING - Consider recommendations regarding an update for the Northern Branch Jail Project AB 900 Phase II, Third District, as follows: (EST. TIME: 30 MIN.)

a) Receive and file an update from General Services and Sheriff's Office on the status of the Northern Branch Jail AB900 Phase II and SB1022 Project;

b) Adopt a Resolution approving and authorizing the Chair, or designee, to execute, in substantially the following:

i) The Ground Lease; and

ii) The Right of Entry for Construction and Operation;

c) Adopt a Resolution authorizing use of an Owner Controlled Insurance Program (OCIP) for the Northern Branch Jail AB900 Phase II Project, certifying that an OCIP meets the requirements of Government Code Section 4420, and authorizing the County Executive Officer, or designee, to execute a memorandum of understanding and other documents necessary to implement an OCIP;

d) Pursuant to Public Contract Code Section 20125, authorize the Director of General Services to advertise for construction bids for the Northern Branch Jail AB900 Phase II Project based on the project description below, including Bid Package A for the Jail construction and site improvements, and Bid Package B for the offsite utility and associated improvements, after final approval by the State in conformance with the terms of the AB900 Phase II financing program;

e) Provide staff a goal for percentage of local participation on the AB900 Phase II construction project; and

f) After considering the Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report (SEIR), State Clearinghouse No. 2007111099, that the Board of Supervisors certified on March 11, 2008, the December 6, 2011 SEIR addendum, and the October 8, 2013 SEIR addendum, determine pursuant to 14 CCR Section 15162(a) that no subsequent EIR or Negative Declaration is required for this project because: i) No substantial changes are proposed in the project which require major revisions of the 2008 Final Subsequent EIR; ii) No substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken which require major revisions of the 2008 Final Subsequent EIR; iii) mortance concerning the project's significant effects or mitigation measures, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time that the Final Subsequent EIR was certified in 2008, has been received.

## COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION: POLICY