## County of Santa Barbara



## **Legislation Text**

File #: 19-00213, Version: 5

HEARING - Consider the Patterson Avenue Holdings New Office Appeal (Case No. 18APL-00000-00022) filed by Paul Bradford, Appellant, of the Planning Commission's December 5, 2018 approval of Case Nos. 16DVP-00000-00013 and 16BAR-00000-00154, Second District, as follows: (EST. TIME: 40 MIN.)

- a) Uphold the appeal, Case No. 18APL-00000-00022;
- b) Make the required findings for denial of the project, Case Nos. 16DVP-00000-00013 and 16BAR-00000-00154, including California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) findings;
- c) Determine that the project is exempt from CEQA pursuant to the State CEQA Guidelines Section 15270; and
- d) Deny de novo Case Nos. 16DVP-00000-00013 and 16BAR-00000-00154;

Alternatively, if your Board wishes to deny the appeal and uphold the project, staff recommends that you take the following actions:

- a) Deny the appeal, Case No. 18APL-00000-00022;
- b) Make the required findings for approval of the project, Case Nos. 16DVP-00000-00013 and 16BAR-00000-00154, included as Attachment 1 to the Board Agenda Letter dated June 4, 2019, including CEQA findings;
- c) Determine that the project is exempt from CEQA pursuant to the State CEQA Guidelines Section 15303, included as Attachment 3 to the Board Agenda Letter dated June 4, 2019; and
- d) Grant de novo approval of the project, Case Nos. 16DVP-00000-00013 and 16BAR-00000-00154, subject to the conditions included as Attachment 2 to the Board Agenda Letter dated June 4, 2019.

COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION: POLICY